1	Emily Maxwell (Bar. No. 185646)	
2	maxwelle@howrey.com	
	HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600	
3	San Francisco, CA 94105	
4	415-848-4947	
-	415-848-4999 (fax)	
5		
6	Eugene A. Spector ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) espector@srkw-law.com	
	Jeffrey J. Corrigan (pro hac vice)	
7	jcorrigan@srkw-law.com	
8	Theodore M. Lieverman (pro hac vice)	
0	tlieverman@srkw-law.com	
9	Jay S. Cohen ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) jcohen@srkw-law.com	
10	Jonathan M. Jagher ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
11	jjagher@srkw-law.com	
11	SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF & WILI	LIS, P.C.
12	1818 Market Street, Suite 2500	
13	Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-496-0300	
1.5	215-496-6611 (fax)	
14	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL ON SIGNATURE	PAGE]
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and	others similarly
16	situated	ES DISTRICT COURT
17		
	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18		
19	DICHADD I CHEELED ID on behalf of	Cose No. C 00 00274 H
20	RICHARD J. SHEELER, JR., on behalf of himself and others similarly situated,	) Case No.: C 09-00274 JL
20	Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF RELATED CASE
21	vs.	PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 TO BE FILED IN CASE NO. CV-09-0002 (PJH);
22	WALLACE COLLIGATION WAS MADE	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
	WALMART.COM USA LLC, WAL-MART STORES, INC. and NETFLIX, INC.	CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED, PURSUANT TO CIVIL
23	Defendants.	L.R. 7-11
24	-	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Nomen	1 DE DEL ATED CASE
Y LLP	NOTICE C	OF RELATED CASE

DM\_US:21835194\_1

HOWREY

1	Plaintiff, Richard J. Sheeler, Jr. on behalf of himself and others similarly situated (hereinafter	
2	"Plaintiff") hereby respectfully submit this Notice of Related Case, Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12 and the	
3	required Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related, Pursuant to Civil L. R.	
4	7-11.	
5	I. APPLICABLE STANDARD UNDER CIVIL L.R. 3-12	
6	Under Civil Local Rule 3-12, an "action is related to another when: (1) the actions concern	
7	substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event, and (2) it appears likely that there will be	
8	an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are	
9	conducted before different Judges." Civil L.R. 3-12(a).	
10	Whenever a party knows or believes that an action may be related to an action which is or was	
11	pending in the Northern District, said party "must promptly file in the earliest-filed case an	
12	Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11." <sup>1</sup>	
13	Civil L.R. 3-12(b). That motion must include: "(1) The title and case number of each apparently	
14	related case; (2) A brief statement of the relationship of the actions according to the criteria set forth in	
15	Civil L.R. 3-12(a)."	
16	II. THE NEWLY FILED SHEELER AND MEYER CASES ARE RELATED TO RESNICK	
17	AND ITS PROGENY.	
18	The Resnick case was filed in this Court on January 2, 2009. Subsequently, a number of related	
19	complaints were filed. By Order dated January 16, 2009, this Court found that the following cases are	
20	related:	
21	(1) Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-0002	
22	(2) O'Connor v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-0096	
23	(3) Endzweig v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-00111	
24	(4) Schmitz v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-00116	
25		
26	<sup>1</sup> "In addition to complying with Civil L.R. 7-11, a copy of the motion, together with proof of service pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-6, must be served on all known parties to each apparently related action. A	
27	Chambers copy of the motion must be lodged with the assigned Judge in each apparently related case under Civil L.R. 5-1(b)." Civil L.R. 3-12(b).	
28		

1	(5) Lynch, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-00138		
2	(6) Groce, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al., C 09-00139.		
3	By Order dated January 26, 2009, this Court found that the following cases also are related to		
4	Resnick:		
5	(1) Anthony v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-0236;		
6	(2) Sivek v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C 09-00156, filed January 13, 2009; and		
7	(3) Faris v. Netflix, Inc., et al., C-09-0180, filed January 14, 2009.		
8	After the Court's January 16, 2009 and January 26, 2009 orders, all of the above-listed cases		
9	are assigned to Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton.		
10	In addition to the above-listed cases previously found to be related to <i>Resnick</i> , there are severa		
11	new cases which also are related to Rasnick including:		
12	(1) The above-captioned litigation, <i>Sheeler v. Walmart.com USA LLC</i> , et al. C 09-274 (JL)		
13	("Sheeler"), filed January 22, 2009;		
14	(2) Mover v. Walmart com USA LLC et al. C 09-00361 (BZ) ("Mover") filed Ianuary 27		
15	2009;		
16	(3) Slobodin v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C-09-225 (BZ), filed January 16, 2009; and		
17	(4) Polk-Stamps v. Walmart.com USA LLC, et al., C-09-244 (JCS), filed January 20, 2009.		
18	These recently filed cases, like the others that came before them, are related to the original		
19			
20	and exerts the identical defendants the identical or virtually identical allegations and causes of action		
21	and the same proposed class of plaintiffs. Accordingly, there will be unduly burdensome duplication		
22	of labor and expense and there will be a risk of conflicting results if these cases are not related to		
23	Resnick and assigned to Judge Hamilton like the other related cases listed above.		
24	Dated: January 28, 2009 Respectfully submitted,		
25	By: /s/ Emily Maxwell		
26	Emily Maxwell HOWREY LLP		
27	525 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, CA 94105		
28	415-848-4947		
	415-848-4999 (fax)		

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

HOWREY LLP

DM\_US:21835194\_1

1	
2	Paul Alexander
	HOWREY LLP 1950 University Avenue
3	East Palo Alto, CA 94303
4	650-798-3500
	650-798-3600 (fax)
5	Eugene A. Spector
6	Jeffrey J. Corrigan
7	Theodore M. Lieverman
,	Jay S. Cohen
8	Jonathan M. Jagher
9	SPECTOR ROSEMAN KODROFF
	& WILLIS, P.C. 1818 Market Street
10	Suite 2500
11	Philadelphia, PA 19103
	215-496-0300
12	215-496-6611 (fax)
13	Lee Albert
1.4	Brian Brooks
14	MURRAY, FRANK & SAILER LLP
15	275 Madison Avenue, Suite 801
16	New York, NY 10016
10	(212)-682-1818 (212)-682-1892 (fax)
17	(212)-002-1072 (lax)
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff and others similarly situated
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
/ 1 /	
25	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>	

HOWREY LLP

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE